The F/A-22 will be the most outstanding fighter aircraft ever built. Every fighter pilot in the Air Force would dearly love to fly it.”
Air Marshal Angus Houston, August, 2004

Dr Carlo Kopp

Combining supersonic cruise, wideband all aspect stealth, the most powerful AESA radar in any production fighter aircraft, and highly integrated avionics, the F/A-22A is the most survivable combat aircraft in existence. As a result, US Air Force planning envisages using the aircraft across an ever increasing range of roles.

The Lockheed-Martin F/A-22A Raptor is without doubt the most capable multi-role combat aircraft in production today, the only design which combines all aspect wideband stealth capability, supersonic cruise, high supersonic and subsonic agility and a fully integrated avionic suite. It also has the dubious the 1960s TFX – its critics in the US and elsewhere concocting untruthful stories about its capabilities, utility and cost. With the F/A-22A now transitioning from Low Rate Capability planned for this year, the F/A-22A is on
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its way.
There is little doubt that the US fighters were opposing fighters and incoming cruise missiles. The new Advanced Tactical Fighter was to outperform the new Soviet designs in BVR and close combat, and have the energy performance advantage to engage and disengage at will. It was envisaged that the new ATFSs would penetrate high and fast, deep into Soviet held airspace to defeat PVO and VVS fighters over their own ground, and thus enable strike aircraft to demolish Soviet ground-based air defences and defended assets. The technological enabler for this revolutionary change in energy performance was the supercooled turbine, developed by the US Air Force and contractors in a long running program. A turbofan with a supercooled turbine could sustain much higher inlet gas temperatures, permitting the engine to develop much higher dry thrust at altitude and thus sustain Mach 1.4+ speeds without using the thirsty afterburner. Supersonic cruise or “Supercruise” would thus permit the ATF to operate in the teen/teenski series fighters’ BVR combat capabilities matching or exceeding the US fighters in aerodynamic design. With BVR combat capabilities matching or exceeding the teen series, the Soviets were a full generation ahead in close in combat capabilities through the introduction of the R-73 (AA-11 Archer) Gen 4 missile and the Shchel series helmet mounted sights. In short, with the Su-27 the Soviets created a superior fighter.

The Advanced Tactical Fighter Program

Today’s F/A-22A has its origins in the Advanced Tactical Fighter (ATF) program, conceived during the late seventies and early eighties in response to intelligence reports on the then new Soviet Ramenskoe Ram-L and Ram-K prototypes, which eventually evolved into today’s Su-27/30 and MiG-29 family of aircraft. The Sukhoi and MiG fighters were designed around the aerodynamic, propulsion and tactical ideas which were central to the US teen-series F-14, F-15, F-16 and F/A-18A fighters: highly agile ‘energy fighters’ capable of sustaining high instantaneous and sustained transonic turn rates, with high thrust-to-weight ratios and thus specific excess power to permit rapid acceleration and then exceptional climb performance. Close combat capabilities were matched with Mach 2+ supersonic dash performance for air defence intercept roles, plus a large pulse Doppler radar to permit Beyond Visual Range (BVR) shots against opposing fighters and incoming cruise missiles. There is little doubt that the US fighters were almost unbeatable in comparison with the sixties and seventies technology Soviet fighters they were built to kill: the MiG-21 Fishbed, MiG-23/27 Flogger, Su-15/21 Flagon and widely used earlier Soviet types. This was no longer true of the Su-27 and MiG-29 - their TsAAGI developed aerodynamic configuration fused key ideas from the F-14, F-15 and F-16 designs into a single package, which in a single design matched all of the best qualities of the teen-series types. In effect, the MiG-29 and especially the Su-27 were a half-generation beyond the US fighters in aerodynamic design. With BVR combat capabilities matching or exceeding the teen series, the Soviets were a full generation ahead in close in combat capabilities through the introduction of the R-73 (AA-11 Archer) Gen 4 missile and the Shchel series helmet mounted sights. In short, with the Su-27 the Soviets created a superior fighter.

India is manufacturing the Su-30MKI, which outperforms the F-15C in long-range engagements during a Cope Thunder exercise in 2004, clearly showing the need for the F/A-22 in the air superiority role. (IAF, US Air Force)

US Air Force thinking was to develop a successor to the F-15 family of fighters that would restore a capability margin over the new Su-27 and MiG-29 series. The new Advanced Tactical Fighter was to outperform the new Soviet designs in BVR and close combat, and have the energy performance advantage to engage and disengage at will. It was envisaged that the new ATFSs would penetrate high and fast, deep into Soviet held airspace to defeat PVO and VVS fighters over their own ground, and thus enable strike aircraft to demolish Soviet ground-based air defences and defended assets. The technological enabler for this revolutionary change in energy performance was the supercooled turbine, developed by the US Air Force and contractors in a long running program. A turbofan with a supercooled turbine could sustain much higher inlet gas temperatures, permitting the engine to develop much higher dry thrust at altitude and thus sustain Mach 1.4+ speeds without using the thirsty afterburner. Supersonic cruise or “Supercruise” would thus permit the ATF to operate in the teen/teenski series fighters’ afterburning performance envelope in dry thrust alone, providing not only unprecedented supersonic persistency, but also exceptional supersonic and subsonic agility.

The second key technology to find its way into the ATF was stealth, with the success of the Have Blue and F-117A demonstrating its utility. With stealth, the ATF could have an unbeatable advantage in BVR combat, and F-117A-like survivability against surface to air missile threats. The third key technology to be introduced into the ATF was an integrated software-centric digital avionic suite, in which all sensor and system processing was concentrated in a redundant package of multi-processing computers. The ATF’s sensor suite was to be dominated by a large active phased array radar, built for low antenna radar signature, and with the agility and processing power to provide Low Probability of Intercept modes.

The RFP was issued in 1986. The resulting Lockheed/Boeing/General Dynamics YF-22A and Northrop/McDonnell-Douglas YF-23A demonstrators embodied these key design concepts. Early in 1991, the more conservative YF-22A was selected over the more radical (and arguably riskier) YF-23A, resulting in today’s F/A-22A. Early planning envisaged up to 750 ATFSs being built, as one-for-one replacements for the expected fleet of F-15A and F-15C fighters. The year the F-22A development program was launched was the year in which the Soviet Union collapsed, and the year in which the F-117A demolished the nucleus of Saddam’s air defence system. While the production F-22A design was being crafted, exploiting the conceptual design of the YF-22A, much thought was being invested in the changing strategic environment. Clearly an F-22A designed for NATO theatre air superiority alone would be too narrowly specialised for a post Cold War world, one in which a post Soviet Russia was busy proliferating the Su-27 and S-300PMU and S-300V surface to air missile systems. The new globalised world was going to be a ‘rainbow threat’ environment, with evolved variants of top tier Soviet systems available globally. Indeed, the world we see today is exactly this, with Asian operators expected to exceed total numbers of Russian operated Su-27 and S-300 series weapon systems, and cruise missiles a high volume product.

By the mid 1990s the F-22A was firmly reoriented as a multirole fighter, intended to not only demolish opposing fighter assets and supporting AWACS, but also hunt mobile and semimobile S-300 batteries, supporting command centres and other critical surface targets. The success and the limitations of the F-117A Nighthawk were clearly apparent in 1991, and the supercruising high altitude F-22A was clearly the only aircraft that could go where it was becoming unsafe to send an F-117A. There can be no doubt that the Raptor will remain unmatched in capabilities and combined stealth/aerodynamic performance for decades to come. The number of new technologies used in its design compares only to the radical break seen in the 1960s TFX program, and only comparable investment in developing analogous technologies can produce an equivalent design in the EU or Russia. The Raptor seems destined to remain in a league of its own for decades to come.

Early planning for the F/A-22 was for a one-for-one replacement of 750 F-15 fighters but cutbacks have reduced the production number of F/A-22s to less than 500.
Evolving the Raptor

Much of the public literature on the Raptor reflects the baseline configuration, largely frozen during the late 1990s to comply with congressional cost caps on the development program. Unfortunately, many observers have not bothered to explore more recent disclosures detailing ongoing evolution of the design.

The ability of the F-22A to penetrate all conceivable air defence roles unchallenged led to a progressive role shift toward strike, especially strike against high value targets and surface-based air defences. This parallels the evolution of the earlier F-15 into strike roles with the F-15E Strike Eagle. Longer term, the F-22A was expected to replace the F-117A in its penetration role.

By late 2002 it was clear that the F-22A was more the multirole fighter in future tasking than the air superiority fighter it was conceived to be. As a result, in September 2002 Chief of Staff General John P. Jumper announced the redesignation of the F-22A as the F/A-22A.

The baseline weapons payload of the F/A-22A in air superiority roles comprises a pair of AIM-9X WVR AAMs in the side bays, and six AIM-120C variant BVR missiles in the main fuselage bays. For unseated air defence roles such as bomber and cruise missile intercept, an additional four AIM-120 could be carried on external pylons with a pair of external tanks. The pylons are all rated to 5,000 lb and can be jettisoned to regain full stealth performance.

The Initial Operational Capability configuration, to be fielded this year, will be multirole, with the option of four AMRAAMs being replaced by GBU-32 JDAMs. This provides an analogous deep-strike capability to the F-117A, but is more survivable.

Current planning envisages the introduction of the GBU-39/40 Small Diameter Bomb in the Block 20 aircraft by 2007, together with high resolution SAR radar modes, improved radar ECM, two way voice and data MIDS/Link-16 capability, improved crew station software, and improved electronic countermeasures. The Block 20 configuration is the baseline for the Global Strike Task Force (GSTF) fleet, and will include JSF common radar modules, a dedicated high-speed radar processor, and COTS technology CIP processors.

The Block 30 configuration, planned for 2008-2011, extends the growth seen in the Block 20. Side-looking radar arrays are envisaged to provide a significant SR capability in the aircraft along with enhancements to provide full air defence suppression (Wild Weasel) and time-critical target engagement capabilities. A Satcom terminal will be added to provide continuous network connectivity during deep-strike profiles.

The post-2011 Block 40 aircraft is intended to be the definitive Global Strike configuration, including incremental enhancements to Block 30 additions, to provide full sensor networking, range enhancements, highly integrated ISR capabilities, and a Helmet Mounted Display similar to the JSF. Longer term planning for post Block 40 envisages an Electronic Attack variant, essentially replacing the lost F-117A Raven. A stealthy stores pod for JDAM and SDB is also in development to enable carriage on external pylons.

As a strike aircraft the F/A-22A will have similar internal payloads to the JSF, but will be vastly more survivable due to better stealth to evade air defence missile batteries, plus better speed/altitude performance, more defensive internally carried air-air missiles and the ability to kill opposing fighters with no difficulty. The spiral development program for strike capabilities is incremental, and primarily involves software and integration of networking equipment and new weapons. As a result, it is an affordable proposition. The Block 20 enhancements were covered within the original 2004 production budget. Concurrent with F/A-22A evolution, the FB-22A is being explored as a parallel strike platform for the US Air Force. The most recent reports indicate that the configuration of the FB-22A has shifted from a pure delta design, to a configuration similar to the F/A-22A with an enlarged wing, enlarged weapon bays, and stretched forward fuselage accommodating a second crew station. The F119-PW-100 engine would be enhanced to permit long-duration supercruise on deep-strike profiles, with specific optimisations in a number of areas for this purpose.

Raptor vs the PacRim

Since 1991, the world strategic environment has changed dramatically. While most media and political attention remains focused on the War on Terror in the low-tech Islamic world, the larger long-term strategic issue is the ongoing arms race in the Asia-Pacific-Indian region. Within the PacRim itself, the growth of China as a regional military and economic superpower is the single greatest strategic change seen since the fall of the Soviet Union.

By 2015 we can expect to see China become the largest single operator of late-generation Sukhoi Su-27/30 derivative fighters globally, flown in a high-low mix with indigenous Lavi-like Chengdu J-10 fighters. By then, the PLA-AF will be operating A-50 AWACS and Il-78MKK Midas aerial refuelling tankers. New-build Badgers, armed with indigenous and likely Russian-derived cruise missiles, will replace much of the legacy fleet. If the converging Russian push to export Backfires and Bears meets with the PLA- AF leadership's ambitions to operate these aircraft, China could well end up with a fleet of up to 40 upgraded Tu-22M3 Backfires along with a regiment or more of late build Tu-95MS Bears, all armed with cruise missiles and the latter likely to be mass produced in China.

In strategic terms, the PacRim will be faced with a technologically evolved derivative of the very same capability package that terrified NATO planners during the last half decade of the Cold War. With Backfires providing a reach of circa-2,500 NMI, and Bears 4,000 NMI armed with cruise missiles, there are no nations in the PacRim outside the footprint of the PLA- AF's strategic forces.

For the US, with its principal conventional deterrent capabilities in the PacRim sited at Andersen AFB in Guam, Kadena and Misawa in Japan, and naval CVBGs based in Japan and US PacRim ports, China's growing capabilities will present a genuine strategic challenge. Guam and Kadena fall under the footprint of the Backfire, or refuelled Sukhoi fighters. Naval CVBGs, having lost the A-6E, F-14 and dedicated aerial refuelling tankers, are largely limited in reach without US Air Force tanker support, and by 2015 equipped mostly with the limited F/A-18E/F.

The F/A-22A is the strategically pivotal asset for the US in the PacRim, providing unmatched capability in several areas: the air superiority capability to balance Chinese Flanker numbers, the defence suppression capability to defeat Chinese S-300/400 missile systems, the penetration capability to shut down PLA- AF and PLA-N airfields, and the cruise missile engagement capability to blunt any pre-emptive or sustained strike campaign conducted by a future PLA- AF strike force. The US deterrent posture in the PacRim region will hinge mostly on available numbers of F/A-22As in this theatre.

For Australia, the F/A-22A continues to represent the single best choice as a replacement new build combat aircraft for the RAAF, as it is the only type which will be strategically credible in the post 2015 PacRim environment.

Further Reading:
http://www.austrailpower.net/raprot.html
F/A-22A Raptor
Development and Capability

By any measure, the development of the F-22A was revolutionary – in the technology employed and from a stealth design point standpoint – and this produced a combat aircraft that has no equal. Being able to carry weapons internally is essential to its stealth capability, as is efficient supercruise. Given the role shift away from dedicated air superiority and rather than incur the costs of resizing the compact main internal bays of the F-22A, sized initially around four early AIM-120A AMRAAMs, the US Air Force embarked on the “Small Smart Bomb” program centred on the idea of carrying a payload of multiple 250 lb class bombs. Today’s 358 lb GBU-39/B and GBU-40/B Small Diameter Bombs (SDB) were sized around the F-22A weapon bay. For the intended role of busting an opponent’s airfields, mobile missile batteries, and command posts, the mix of either two GBU-32 1,000 lb JDAMs or eight GBU-39/40 SDBs is an excellent fit. With all-aspect stealth and penetrating supersonic at 50,000 ft AGL, the F-22A remains virtually unstoppable by surface-to-air missiles or fighters. It is likely that operational F/A-22As will be used far more frequently to break down an opponent’s air defences than in the classical air superiority role, and the aircraft retains the full air superiority capabilities envisaged for the ATF.

The 1990s saw the production configuration of the F-22A refined, with a new fuselage shape, revised larger span wing planform, smaller vertical tails positioned aft, relocated cockpit, and production configuration avionics architected. The baseline F/A-22A Block 10 will be capable of air superiority, air defence / cruise missile defence and deep-penetration strike roles. Subsequent Block 20, 30 and 40 configurations will progressively expand air to air and strike capabilities, and exploit the aircraft’s survivability to perform ISR roles. The F/A-22A thus becomes the broadest multirole fighter in service (Author).

Stealth shaping for the F-22A design was unique. It uses edge-aligned inlets and thrust-vectoring nozzles with edge length capable of defeating radars better than any other stealthy fighter. Built thus for all-aspect relatively wideband stealth, this is a distinct optimisation for deep-penetration and air combat, and the most capable stealth design other than the specialised F-117A and B-2A.

The raw aerodynamic performance of the F-22A was without precedent. In military (dry) thrust setting the F-22A could cover the whole afterburning performance envelope of the F-15 or advanced Sukhois, both still the highest performing energy fighters widely deployed. The F-22A was rated for 9G at combat weights. With 20,650 lb of internal fuel, the F-22A carried 88 per cent of the fuel internally in a CFT-equipped F-15E, with no drag penalty; yet with four 592 USG drop tanks, a total fuel load of 36,515 lb could be carried, 6 per cent more than the internal fuel of the larger F-111.

Refined supersonic aerodynamics allowed the F-22A to exceed Mach 1.5 in military thrust at altitude – the exact top speed in dry thrust has never been disclosed. In early trials, F-15 chase aircraft could not keep up, and test pilots soon reported instances where even modest heading changes by F-22A prototypes in head-to-head engagement geometries caused opposing team’s fighters to abort engagements entirely – an experience historically seen only in engagements against Foxbats and Foxhounds.

In the simplest of terms, the supercruising F-22A kinetically defeated all opposing fighters, and even without stealth would kinetically defeat most existing surface-to-air missile types. The only design with the potential to challenge today’s F/A-22A are advanced derivatives of the Su-30 fitted with supercruising AL-41F fans, the Russian equivalent to the F119-PW-100 engine in the F/A-22A, and an LRIP production item since last year.

The F-22A prototypes in head-to-head engagement geometries caused opposing team’s fighters to abort engagements entirely – an experience historically seen only in engagements against Foxbats and Foxhounds.
Unlike earlier designs, the F-22A introduced a digital Vehicle Management System (VMS), which integrates primary flight controls, leading edge flap controls, engine controls and thrust vector controls. The complex software at the heart of the VMS absorbed a large fraction of development costs, but provides the aircraft with unrestricted ‘carefree’ handling throughout the envelope, and very high angular rates in manoeuvre. The F-22A uses rudder to-w-in to provide the speedbrake function.

Aircraft utility systems also saw much innovation. The aircraft uses an On-Board Oxygen Generating System (OBOGS) to provide breathable oxygen, but also cockpit pressurisation and defogging. An On-Board Inert Gas Generation System (OBIGGS) is used to produce nitrogen for fuel tank inerting, and a Halon gas extinguisher system was introduced to protect the engine bays, APU and most large cavities in the airframe. The Allied Signal Aerospace Auxiliary Power Generation System (APGS) is built around a 450 SHP G-250 turbine APU, considered the highest power density design in production, coupled to a Stored Energy System (SES) using compressed air bottles for self-starting.

Avionics cooling in the F-22A also departed from convention, using a liquid cooling system to dump heat out of the core avionic suite, especially the APG- 77 radar and Common Integrated Processors (CIP). Polyalpholefin (PAO) coolant is cycled through the avionics in two loops, then through the air cycle cooler (bleed air driven) and then through heat exchangers in the wing tanks, dumping waste heat into the wing tank fuel. The fuel acts as a heat sink, but itself is cooled by the Thermal Management System (TMS), using an air inlet between the fuselage and inboard inlet edge to dump heat from a fuel system heat exchanger.

The fuel system comprises the F-2, F-1A and F-1B forward fuselage tanks, the paired A-2/LR wing internal tanks, the paired A-3/LR centre fuselage tanks, the pair A-1/LR outboard aft fuselage tanks, and optional drop tanks, totalling 36,515 lbs of JP-8 fuel. A refuelling receptacle under clamshell doors is used. The 270 Volt DC electrical system is powered by two 65 KiloWatt generators, and a redundant 4,000 psi hydraulic system is used. A retractable arrestor hook was included for short field recoveries.

The forward fuselage module is built mostly of composites and aluminium, and is structurally built around chinod composite side beams and upper longerons. The clamshell canopy uses a single piece Sierracin 0.75” thick polycarbonate canopy, designed with Zone 1 optical quality through the full field of view. An improved ACES-II ejection seat is used. The cockpit uses six full colour AMLCD canopies, designed with Zone 1 optical quality through the full field of view. An is structurally built around chined composite side beams and upper longerons. The forward fuselage module is built mostly of composites and aluminium, and is structurally built around chinod composite side beams and upper longerons. The clamshell canopy uses a single piece Sierracin 0.75” thick polycarbonate canopy, designed with Zone 1 optical quality through the full field of view. An improved ACES-II ejection seat is used. The cockpit uses six full colour AMLCD canopies, designed with Zone 1 optical quality through the full field of view. A GEC Head Up Display is used. Two Up-Front Displays (UFDs) being 3”x4” in size, and the three Secondary Multi-Function Displays (SMFDs) being 6.25x6.25”, and

The APG-77 itself with cca 1500 X-band active solid state TR modules is the most powerful and sophisticated radar ever installed in a combat aircraft, providing conventional weapon modes, LPI modes, and an ISAR capability to image the shape of a target aircraft to facilitate early recognition in combat. The forward fuselage includes structural provisions for growth in the radar via paired sideloooking phased arrays. Processing for the baseline F-22A's APG-77 was performed in a package of up to three Common Integrated Processor assemblies - built around the Intel i960 chip and VHSIC arrays. Full production aircraft will use COTS technology processors, reflecting the obsolescence created by politically mandated production delays. The mid-fuselage comprises three modules, and is the structural core of the airframe. It contains the two main fuselage weapon bays, the side weapon bays, much of the fuel storage, the APU, the 20 mm M61A2 gun, the main gear bays and the inlet subsystem, and an APU exhaust and inlet are mounted at the left wing root - the gun occupying that volume in the right wing root. The aft fuselage mounts the engines, TVC nozzles, and tail surfaces. It has the highest fraction of structural titanium alloy, at 67 per cent, 25 per cent of its weight being in the lightweight high strength bonded titanium–beam–welded tail booms. The vertical tails use composite rudders, skins and edges, and HIP titanium castings in the actuator. The stabilators are largely honeycomb, but using composite edges, and a unique lightweight composite actuator shaft. The wings of the F-22A were no less innovative, and aerodynamically optimised for supersonic cruise and high G manoeuvre, but with excellent transonic performance. Structurally by weight the wings uses 42 per cent of structural titanium, 35 per cent composites and 23 per cent aluminium and other materials. Sinewave spars are used, with 75 per cent of spars composite, and 25 per cent Titanium alloy to improve ballistic damage tolerance.

The avionic suite is the most highly integrated to date, with virtually all processing performed in the CIPs. The APG-77 radar, ALR-94 Electronic Support Measures system and AAR-56 Missile Approach Warning System are departures from classical ‘federated’ architecture avionics. Optical fibre links are used to significantly increase data transfer rates between the radar’s high frequency components and the CIPs. The baseline F-22A was to have included an advanced long range longwave Infrared Search and Track sensor, but this was removed in the interim as a cost saving measure. Navigation reference is provided by redundant Litton LN-100F ring laser gyros and a GPS receiver. An ALE-52 dispenser for expendable countermeasures is located under doors, forward of the main wheel wells. As a ‘software centric’ system with millions of lines of code, the F-22A introduced the concept of a system where virtually all functions and processing were built to rapidly evolve by software growth. The pilot of an F-22A was presented with a level of cockpit automation without precedent: sensor modes, such as radar, were automatically chosen by software, hiding complexity from the pilot. The aim was to free the pilot from the mind-numbing information saturation problem seen in most contemporary combat aircraft. The combination of the LPI radar and passive ESM provides an autonomous long-range detection footprint larger than that of any contemporary combat aircraft. A new LPI Inter/Intra-Flight Data Link (IFDL) was included to permit flights of F-22As to transparently exchange data in combat, including fuel states, weapons remaining, and targets being engaged.

There can be no doubt that the F/A-22A will remain the pinnacle of modern fighter technology for decades to come.

The F/A-22A is unique in its large scale usage of new materials technology, required to achieve the necessary combination of strength and low structural weight. With almost 40 per cent of structural weight in Titanium alloys, it is unique in current Western fighter designs (US Air Force/Author).