The RAAF F/A-18A Hornet - Extend or Replace?

Carlo Kopp, BE(Hons), MSc, PhD, PEng

Defence Analyst, Melbourne, Australia
Email: Carlo.Kopp@aus.net
Summary

Audit Report No.40 1999-2000, Tactical Fighter Operations, Section 5.22 states that Defence decided to upgrade the F/A-18A as an interim measure until a suitable new technology fighter becomes available. This submission will show that ongoing trends and recent developments in regional air and missile capability growth support the case made by Defence. Therefore:

1. The replacement of the F/A-18A with a new technology fighter post-2010 will be necessary, should current trends persist.

2. A larger type of fighter aircraft would be better suited as a replacement for the F/A-18A, should current trends persist.

3. Substantially improved aerial refuelling capabilities and Airborne Early Warning & Control aircraft will be required to support the F/A-18A, should current trends persist.
Why Air Superiority?
What is Air Superiority?

3.46 DI(AF) AAP 1000 The Air Power Manual (2nd Ed):
“Control of the Air is the campaign in which operations are conducted for the purpose of gaining freedom of action in the air. Once control has been established, other air, land and sea campaigns may be conducted when and where desired, without prejudice from enemy air power. Achieving control of the air means defeating or nullifying the effects of enemy air power, both in the air and on the ground.”

“Air superiority is domination in the air restricted by either time or space, or both.”

The primary role of the F/A-18A Hornet is the attainment of air superiority over the air sea gap, Australian territories, or any other area of ADF operations.
What Happens Without Air Superiority?

1. ADF combat aircraft can be attacked in the air and on the ground.

2. ADF transport, tanker and maritime patrol aircraft can be attacked in the air and on the ground. Commercial aircraft could also be attacked.

3. ADF land forces can be attacked by bombers and cruise missiles.

4. ADF naval surface fleet warships and transports can be attacked with cruise missiles and bombs.

5. Australian shipping lanes and air routes can be interdicted, costing export revenue.

6. Australian economic assets, ports, airports and population centres can be attacked with cruise missiles and bombs.
How is Air Superiority Achieved and Maintained?

• Superior fighter aircraft, radar and missiles.
• Superior surveillance, radar early warning, command and control.
• Superior electronic combat capabilities.
• Superior fighter persistence through aerial refuelling.
• Superior capability to attack an opponent’s airfields.
• Superior pilots, tactics, strategy and doctrine.
• Adequate numbers of pilots, fighters, Airborne Early Warning & Control aircraft and aerial refuelling tankers.
Developing Regional Capabilities
Indian Air Power (Current & Projected)

- By 2010-2015 the Indian Air Force will deploy around 200 Sukhoi Su-30MKI long range strike fighters.

- The Indian Navy is currently negotiating the lease of 4 Tupolev Tu-22M-3 Backfire strategic bombers. These may be operational as early as 2002. More may be purchased.

- The Indian Air Force is evaluating the Russian A-50 AWACS and negotiating for the Israeli A-50I AWACS system. India is likely to field an AWACS between 2005 and 2010.

- The Indian Air Force is negotiating a buy of former Soviet Il-78 Midas aerial refuelling tankers from Uzbekistan. These may be operational as early as 2001-2002. US sources claim India has at this time 2 Il-78 Midas.
Indian Air Power (Current & Projected)

• The Indian Navy is upgrading and expanding its fleet of 8 Tupolev Tu-142M Bear F maritime aircraft. The upgraded fleet will become operational by 2005-2010.

• The Indian Navy is deploying the 3M-54E1 (SS-N-27) anti-ship cruise missile on the Kilo class diesel-electric submarine. An operational capability is likely by 2001-2003.

• It is likely that the 3M-54E1 cruise missile will be fitted to the Bear and Backfire aircraft.

• The Indian Navy has purchased a 40,000 tonne Russian aircraft carrier and intends to deploy an air wing of around 46 MiG-29K fighters. It will become operational at some point between 2005 and 2010.
Indian Air Power (Current & Projected)

Indian Air Force/Naval Air Arm Striking Radius (FOB Andaman Is.)

Su−30MKI assumed 1 or 2 aerial refuellings at 700 NMI radius.
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Indian Air Power (Tupolev Bear F)

Tu-142M Bear F
Kh-22M, Kh-35,
3M-82, 3M-54

Indian Naval Air Arm Bear F Striking Radius (INS Rajali, Arakkonam)

Tu-142M assumed 4,000 NMI radius, no AAR performed.
PRC Air Power (Current & Projected)

- By 2015 the PRC’s Air Force will deploy around 250-300 Sukhoi Su-27SK/J-11 long range fighters.

- By 2010 the PRC’s Air Force will deploy around 60 Sukhoi Su-30MKK long range strike fighters.

- The PRC’s Air Force was recently denied the Israeli A-50I AWACS. It is reported to be evaluating the upgraded Russian A-50E instead. If acquired, the A-50E could be operational by 2005.

- The PRC’s Air Force has a stated intent to acquire aerial refuelling tankers, probably the Il-78 Midas. Several H-6 Badger tankers are operational.
PRC Air Power (Current & Projected)

PLA–AF (PRC) Striking Radius (FOB Hainan Dao)
Assumed 1 or 2 aerial refuellings at 800 NMI radius.
### PRC Air Power (Current & Projected)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) 48 aircraft currently in service comprising mix of Su–27SK and Su–27UBK (ITAR–TASS)
(2) Reported follow on order of 55 Su–27SK and Su–27UBK (ITAR–TASS 1997)
(3) Currently under negotiation, reported to be 55 examples of single seat Su–30MK variant
(4) Speculative
Indonesian Basing for Su-27/30

- Indonesia ordered 12 Su-30K long range strike fighters before the economic collapse. These aircraft have since been resold to India. Should Indonesia recover over the coming decade, this order may be revived.

- Malaysia may acquire the Su-30MK long range strike fighter. It operates a modest number of the less capable MiG-29 fighter.
Indonesian Basing for Su-27/30

Indonesian Penetration Scenario – Air Power
Regional Capability - Chronology

The last decade has seen unprecedented growth in the capabilities of the air power operated by the PRC and India.


1996-1997 The PRC negotiates the licence manufacture of 200 Su-27SK fighters in the PRC. Russian claims suggest another 55 Su-27SK have been ordered.

1996-1997 India responds by ordering 40 improved Su-30MKI long range fighter bombers. Negotiations begin for a local build of further Su-30MKI by Hindustan Aircraft Ltd.

1997-1998 Indonesia orders 12 Su-30K, following the economic collapse these are sold by the Russians to India.
Regional Capability - Chronology

1999-2000 The PRC negotiates a buy of about 60 improved Su-30MKK, similar to the Indian aircraft.

Late 1999 Indian Navy orders upgrade and expansion of Tu-142M Bear F Long Range Maritime Patrol (LRMP) aircraft fleet. The 3M-54 (SS-N-27) cruise missile ordered for Kilo submarines and surface ships, deployment on Bear aircraft discussed in public.


2000 India responds by evaluating a pair of Russian A-50 AWACS. Negotiations begin with Israel for A-50I purchase.
Regional Capability - Chronology

Early 2000 Indian Navy negotiates lease of 4 Russian Tu-22M-3 Backfire C supersonic strategic bombers. Status unknown at this time.

July 2000 A report in the Russian press suggests that the Backfire bomber, and the 949A Oscar II cruise missile carrier and 971 Akula attack submarine classes may be sold to the PRC.

September 2000 Russia announces massive defence budget cuts following the accidental loss of the Kursk submarine.

October 2000 India closes a deal for the licence building of 150 Su-30MKI fighters. The 40,000 tonne aircraft carrier Gorshkov is sold for the cost of a refit alone, with negotiations under way for an air wing of MiG-29K fighters. A ‘military-technical cooperation’ arrangement is agreed to facilitate the transfer of Russian technology.
Likely Future Developments

• India converts Backfire lease to purchase and expands fleet beyond 4 aircraft.

• The PRC acquires the Backfire to counter the Indian purchase.

• Both India and the PRC acquire Russian A-50 AWACS.

• The PRC and India acquire further advanced missiles for the Su-27/30 fighter. India buys Uzbek Il-78 aerial refuelling tankers.

• India acquires the 3M-54 cruise missile for the Bear and Backfire bombers, including the Tomahawk-like 3M-14E land attack missile.

• Russia transfers 949A Oscar II cruise missile carrier submarines to China, India or both to save costs.
Uncertainties

• When will the PRC and India acquire Russian A-50 AWACS aircraft?

• When will the PRC and India acquire Russian Il-78 tanker aircraft in operationally useful numbers?

• What cruise missile types will India choose to arm the Backfire and Bear bombers with?

• Will Russia supply the Backfire bomber to the PRC, having opted to supply India? Russia refused to export the Backfire to China in 1993.

• Will Russia choose to export the Oscar II cruise missile submarine to India, the PRC, or both?
Observations

- The tit-for-tat purchases of advanced aircraft and weapons by China and India shows a well established pattern which fits the definition of an ‘arms race’.

- The timing of purchases and types of weapons well exceed the scope of a ‘modernisation program’, as many Western observers would assert.

- Russia’s bankruptcy is likely to result in former Soviet strategic aircraft, cruise missiles, nuclear powered submarines and warships being supplied without restrictions to the PRC and India.

- Because large stocks of former Soviet equipment are available from the Russian inventory, the time to deploy such into Asia may be as short as months.
Sukhoi Su-27 & Su-30 Fighter Capabilities
Su-27P Flanker

• The Su-27P Flanker was developed by the Soviets to defeat the leading US air superiority fighter of the period, the Boeing F-15.

• Variants carry between 9,400 and 10,000 kg of internal fuel, giving it the greatest combat radius of any fighter in its class.

• With a large radar, good supersonic persistence and the ability to carry 10 to 14 missiles, it is a formidable opponent in Beyond Visual Range (BVR) missile combat.

• With excellent manoeuvrability, powerful engines, advanced R-73 family dogfight missiles and a Helmet Mounted Sight, it is exceptionally potent in close-in air combat.

• Numerous variants are available, incorporating land and maritime strike capabilities.
The Su-27SK Flanker is the baseline export model, supplied to the PRC and Vietnam, and the basis of the PRC’s build of 200 ‘J-11’ Flankers.

It is similar to the Soviet Su-27S model, and is a single seat long range air superiority fighter and fighter-bomber.

The nearest Western equivalent is the US F-15A/C with conformal fuel tanks. The PRC intends to deploy 250-300 aircraft.
The Su-30MKI Flanker is an advanced strike fighter, developed for the Indian Air Force.

It incorporates increased fuel capacity, thrust vectoring engines, canard foreplanes, improved radar and French avionic components.

The nearest Western equivalent is the US F-15E Strike Eagle. Like the F-15E, the Su-30MKI retains the full air-air capabilities of the single seat models. India intends to deploy 200 aircraft.
NIIP N-011M Phased Array (Su-27P)

- NIIP’s phased array has a 1 metre diameter and was designed for the Su-35 and Indian Su-30MKI (NIIP Photo).
• The Su-30MKK Flanker is similar to the Su-30MKI, but developed for the PLA-AF.

• It has canard foreplanes, improved radar and may be fitted with thrust vectoring engines, but retains Russian avionics.

• The nearest Western equivalent is the US F-15E Strike Eagle. Like the Su-30MKI it retains the full air-air capabilities of the single seat models. The PRC intends to deploy around 60 aircraft.
Su-35 & Su-37MR Flanker

- Advanced technology demonstrators incorporating thrust vectoring engines, digital avionics, phased array radar and a glass cockpit.
Vympel R-73 Archer & R-77 Adder

Vympel R–77 RVV–AE (AA–12 Adder)

Vympel R–77M RVV–AE–PD (AA–12 Adder)

(c) 2000, Carlo Kopp

Vympel R–73/R–73M/R–74 (AA–11 Archer)
Vympel R-73 Archer & R-77 Adder

- The active radar guided R-77 (AA-12 Adder) missile is the Russian equivalent to the US AIM-120 AMRAAM which was selected for the RAAF F/A-18. Deliveries to the PRC have been recently reported.

- The R-77M, a long range ramjet variant equivalent to the UK FM-RAAM planned for the Eurofighter Typhoon, is in development.

- The thrust vectoring R-73 and R-73M (AA-11 Archer) missile is the Russian equivalent to the Israeli Python 4 or US AIM-9X dogfight missiles.

- A digital upgrade, the R-74, is in advanced development. It provides an improved capability to reject Western countermeasures.

- The AIM-132 ASRAAM, selected for the RAAF F/A-18, is considered superior to the R-73 series.
Flanker Technological Growth

RCS REDUCTION

PHASED ARRAY (IN TEST)
LPI PHASED ARRAY
SUPERSCALAR CPUS (DSP & DP)
AAR PROBE (PRODUCTION OPTION)
DIGITAL FLY-BY-WIRE (IN TEST)
EXTENDED RANGE R-73M VARIANT (PRODUCTION)
DIGITAL K-73 ARCHER VARIANTS (IN TEST)
AFT FIRING R-73R ARCHER VARIANT

LONG-WAVE IRS&T/FLIR
IRS&T/FLIR (IN TEST)
GLASS COCKPIT (IN TEST)
3RD GENERATION HMD / NVG (IN TEST)

Kh-31R & R-37 “AWACS KILLER” AAMS
ADVANCED R-77 ADDER VARIANTS
RAMJET R-77M ADDER VARIANTS (IN TEST)
ANTI-RADIATION R-77 ADDER VARIANTS

FLANKER CAPABILITY GROWTH

2D TVC (IN PRODUCTION)
3D TVC (IN TEST)

AL-35F 31,000 LB (PRODUCTION)
AL-37F/FU 32,000 LB + (IN TEST)
AL-41F 35,000 LB (PROTOTYPE)

AL-41F 35,000 LB (PROTOTYPE)
2D TVC (IN PRODUCTION)
3D TVC (IN TEST)
AFT FIRE CONTROL RADAR (IN TEST)

EXTENDED RANGE R-73M VARIANT (PRODUCTION)
DIGITAL K-73 ARCHER VARIANTS (IN TEST)
AFT FIRING R-73R ARCHER VARIANT

DIGITAL FLY-BY-WIRE (IN TEST)

ANTI-RADIATION R-77 ADDER VARIANTS
ADVANCED R-77 ADDER VARIANTS
Tupolev Tu-22M-3 Strategic Bomber

Capabilities
Tu-22M-3 Backfire C

- The Tu-22M Backfire was developed during the Cold War as a Soviet counter to the US B-58, F-111 and then planned B-1A bombers.

- The simplest comparison is that the Backfire is a 130 tonne equivalent to the F-111, with about 2.5 times greater range.

- The Backfire can carry up to 24 tonnes of weapons, including supersonic cruise missiles. The Backfire has provisions for aerial refuelling.

- Because the Backfire uses a ‘swing wing’ like the F-111, it can take off from any runway suitable for a heavier model of the 767 airliner.

- The Tu-22M-3 Backfire C was introduced in 1986 and incorporated several improvements over earlier models, Russian sources claim up to 300 were built.
Tu-22M-3 Backfire C

Tupolev Tu–22M–3 Backfire C Strategic Bomber

- 3M–54E1 Alfa Anti–Ship Cruise Missile (TBD)
- 3M–14E Alfa Land Attack Cruise Missile (TBD)
- 3M–54E Alfa Mach 2.9 Anti–Ship Cruise Missile (TBD)
- Kh–22/Kh–22M Burya (AS–4) Anti–Ship Cruise Missile (1 x C/L, 2 x Pylon)
- Kh–22/Kh–22M Burya (AS–4) Land Attack Cruise Missile (1 x C/L, 2 x Pylon)

- In Soviet service the Backfire was used for strategic, theatre and maritime strike, using conventional or nuclear weapons.
Tupolev Tu-142M LRMP Aircraft Capabilities
Tu-142M Bear F

- The Tu-142M Bear F is a Long Range Maritime Patrol derivative of the Soviet Tu-95M/Tu-95K/Tu-95MS strategic bomber and cruise missile carrier.

- The simplest comparison is that the Tu-95 Bear is a turboprop equivalent to the US B-52 bomber. It was designed during the 1950s using technology stolen from the US Boeing B-29 bomber.

- The Tu-142M Bear F is equivalent to a larger and faster P-3C Orion, and carries depth charges and torpedoes in an internal bomb bay.

- The Bear remained in production well into the 1990s.

- The operating radius of the Bear is exceptional, without aerial refuelling it can reach targets up to 8,300 km from its operating base.
Bear Cruise Missile Capabilities

• The Soviet Tu-95K-22 Bear G carried two Mach 3 Kh-22 cruise missiles, one under each wing.

• The Tu-95MS Bear H carries six Kh-55 cruise missiles on a rotary launcher in its bomb bay, and some carry up to ten additional cruise missiles on pylons under each wing.

• The Indian Navy is upgrading and expanding its fleet of Bear F aircraft. Reports indicate that it is likely these will be armed with the 3M-54 anti-ship cruise missile. If so, Indian Bears will be capable of also launching the 3M-14E land attack cruise missile.

• Conversion of the Bear F to deliver cruise missiles can be performed quickly and cheaply, since Russia has large stocks of suitable hardware and spares for its fleet of Tu-95K and Tu-95MS Bears.
Russian Air-Surface Missiles
Raduga Kh-22/Kh-22M Burya (AS-4)

- The Kh-22 missile is a Soviet equivalent to the UK Blue Steel stand-off missile carried by the V-bomber force.
Raduga Kh-22/Kh-22M Burya (AS-4)

- This missile was the principal anti-shipping and defence suppression missile used by the Soviets.

- The Kh-22 weighs almost six tonnes and cruises at speeds between Mach 2 and 3. A high explosive or shaped charge 1 tonne warhead is carried. Nuclear versions exist.

- Variants of this weapon employ radar seekers, anti-radiation seekers or inertial guidance.

- This missile was the primary incentive for the development of the US Navy Aegis system. Its high speed makes it a challenging target to intercept.

- The Tu-95K-22 Bear G carried two rounds.

- The Tu-22M-3 Backfire C carries up to three rounds.
Novator 3M-54 Klub/Alfa (SS-N-27)

- The 3M-54 is a Russian cruise missile similar to the US Tomahawk.
Novator 3M-54 Klub/Alfa (SS-N-27)

- This missile was designed for launch from submarine torpedo tubes, ship or submarine vertical launch tubes and air launch from fighters and maritime aircraft.

- The basic 3M-54E1 model sold to India resembles a shortened Tomahawk anti-ship missile.

- The 3M-54E model planned for use by India carries a Mach 2.9 supersonic sea skimming rocket propelled terminal stage.

- The 3M-14E model is a land attack missile, resembling a shortened Tomahawk land attack missile. Indian reports suggest it may be acquired.

- Any platform equipped for the basic 3M-54E1 can fire any version.
Novator 3M-54 Klub/Alfa (SS-N-27)

Tu–142M Bear F
ASM Loadout Growth Options

- 2 x Kh–22M (AS–4 Kitchen)
- 2 x KSR–5 (AS–6 Kingfish)
- 6 x 3K–55 (SS–N–26 Yakhont)
- 6 x Kh–41 (SS–N–22 Sunburn)
- 10 x Kh–35 (AS–20)
- 10 x 3M–54E (SS–N–27 Alfa)
- 10 x 3M–54E1 (SS–N–27 Alfa)

Internal:
- 6 x Kh–35 (AS–20)
- 4–6 x 3M–54E (SS–N–27 Alfa)
- 6 x 3M–54E1 (SS–N–27 Alfa)

Bomb Bay
MKU–5–6
Launcher
4–6 x ASM

External:
- 2 x Kh–22M (AS–4 Kitchen)
- 2 x KSR–5 (AS–6 Kingfish)
- 2 x 3K–55 (SS–N–26 Yakhont)
- 2 x Kh–41 (SS–N–22 Sunburn)
- 4 x Kh–35 (AS–20)
- 4 x 3M–54E (SS–N–27 Alfa)
- 4 x 3M–54E1 (SS–N–27 Alfa)

Bear H–16 Pylon Configuration

Bear G Pylon Configuration

- Indian reports suggest these missiles are being considered for use on the Bear (up to 16 rounds) and Backfire aircraft, as this would provide commonality with submarines and surface warships. It has also been actively marketed for use on the Su-30MK fighter.
Zvezda Kh-31R (AS-17)

Sukhoi Su-30MKK PLA–AF

Counter AWACS/AEW&C Role (2 x Kh–31R)

- The Kh-31R is a supersonic ramjet anti-radiation missile equivalent in role to the US AGM-88 HARM, but larger and heavier.

- This missile has been reported in the Indian press as an option for the Backfire. It is compatible with the Su-30 and MiG-29 fighters.
Beriev A-50/A-50E/A-50I AWACS
Beriev A-50/A-50E/A-50I (Mainstay)

- The A-50 is the second generation Soviet Airborne Early Warning & Control system, designed as an equivalent to the US Boeing E-3 AWACS, which is flown by the USAF, RAF, NATO, France, Saudi Arabia and Japan (E-767). The baseline radar system on the A-50 is considered similar to Western 1970s and 1980s technology.

- The A-50I incorporates the Israeli Elta EL-2075 phased array radar on the Russian A-50 airframe. The Elta EL-2075 is similar to the radar bid by Elta for the ADF Wedgetail project. The A-50E is a third generation Russian design with a new NIIP antenna.

- China’s planned buy of the A-50I was cancelled in July, a buy of Russian A-50E is likely. India started evaluating the A-50 this year and is reported to be negotiating with Israel for the A-50I.
The Project 1143 Aircraft Carrier
Project 1143 (Kiev) STOBAR Carrier

- The Gorshkov (formerly Baku) was the last of the Soviet Kiev class 40,000 tonne carriers. It was commissioned in 1987.

- After protracted negotiations, the Indian Navy purchased this vessel in October 2000 for the cost of a refit.

- The refit will include a ski-jump to allow the deployment of an air wing of around 46 MiG-29K Fulcrum fighters, with Kamov Ka-29 Helix AEW&C and anti-submarine helicopters.
Mikoyan MiG-29K Fulcrum

• The MiG-29K is the navalised variant of the MiG-29, with an enlarged folding wing and tailhook. It is similar in size and weight to the F/A-18A, but with higher thrust engines it is more agile.

• The MiG-29 is flown by India (76) and Malaysia (18).
The Project 949A Submarine
Project 949A Cruise Missile Submarine

- The 18,000 tonne 949A Antey (Oscar II) submarine was designed to attack surface shipping with up to 24 supersonic P-700 (SS-N-19) 550 km range cruise missiles. Russia retains 10 boats in service.

- Russian reports suggest this submarine class may be sold to China.
Australia’s Strategic Position
Strategic Issues for Australia

• By 2005 both China and India are likely to have the capability to directly project strategic air power and submarine launched cruise missiles into South East Asian and Australian air space.

• By 2010-2015 both China and India are likely to have the capability to deploy a genuine air expeditionary force of Su-27/30 fighters and A-50 AWACS.

• Former Soviet weapons such as the Backfire bomber, the Su-27 fighter and the A-50 AWACS are of the same or later generations of technology as Australia’s F/A-18A and F-111 aircraft.

• Ongoing growth in the Pilbara and Timor Sea gas industry places a vital Australian economic asset within the direct reach of strategic air power likely to be soon operated by both the PRC and India.
Regional Power Projection Radii

Regional Striking Radii (FOB Andaman Islands, Hainan Dao, Java)
Vulnerability of Pilbara/Timor Sea

[Map showing gas and oil discoveries, zones of cooperation, and air defense environment in the North West Shelf and Timor Sea area.]
Vulnerability of Pilbara/Timor Sea

• Current investment in the gas and oil production infrastructure in the Pilbara and Timor Sea amounts to well in excess of $13B. Potential future investment could well exceed this number.

• Current export revenue from Pilbara gas and condensate is around $2B annually. Future revenue is likely to be much greater.

• Western Australia is substantially dependent upon the flow of gas from the Pilbara.

• If the North Australia Gas Venture proceeds, QLD, SA, VIC and NSW could become dependent upon the supply of Timor Sea gas.
Vulnerability of Pilbara/Timor Sea

- Oil and gas infrastructure is vulnerable to attack by anti-ship and land attack cruise missiles, guided bombs, dumb bombs and torpedoes.

- A successful strike on the Pilbara and Timor Sea gas infrastructure in 2010-2020 could produce a similar effect to the Victorian gas shutdown, but on a national scale.

- Should the damage be severe, or the risk of attack persist, such a shutdown could last two years or longer.

- The red, yellow and orange contours on the map represent the distance to which an aircraft or submarine need approach to deliver a cruise missile strike. The distance is determined by the type of cruise missile.
F/A-18A - Extend or Replace?
Su-27/30 Flanker vs F/A-18A Hornet

Size Comparison - Su-30MK, F/A-18A and F-111C
Su-27/30 Flanker vs F/A-18A Hornet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec</th>
<th>Su-30MKK (AL-35F/FU)</th>
<th>F/A-18A (clean)</th>
<th>F/A-18A (2x480 USG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empty Weight [kg]</td>
<td>17,700</td>
<td>10,455</td>
<td>10,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Fuel [kg]</td>
<td>9,400</td>
<td>4,926</td>
<td>4,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Fuel [kg]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat Weight [kg]</td>
<td>24,620</td>
<td>14,340</td>
<td>15,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing Area [m²]</td>
<td>62.04</td>
<td>37.16</td>
<td>37.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Thrust SL [kN]</td>
<td>83.36</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/B Thrust SL [kN]</td>
<td>142.0</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry T/W [-]</td>
<td>0.69:1</td>
<td>0.7:1</td>
<td>0.63:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/B T/W [-]</td>
<td>1.2:1</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.92:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG LDG [kg/m²]</td>
<td>396.8</td>
<td>385.9</td>
<td>423.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Assumptions: 6x BVR AAM, 2x WVR AAM.
Su-27/30 Flanker vs F/A-18A Hornet

Detection/Engagement Ranges
for Flanker/Adder Weapon System vs Target RCS

RCS figures for fighters are very approximate for I/J bands
Detection range performance for N011, N012, 9B–1103, 9B–1348 based on Russian data
Su-27/30 Flanker vs F/A-18A Hornet

The Su-27/30 is almost a decade newer by design than the F/A-18A/C in addition to being much larger. This confers several basic advantages:

- With a higher thrust/weight, the Su-27/30 outclimbs and outaccelerates the F/A-18A.

- With a larger fuel fraction and better aerodynamics, the Su-27/30 has better combat persistence than the F/A-18A at transonic and supersonic speeds.

- With a higher thrust/weight and better aerodynamics, the Su-27/30 has better sustained turning performance, and similar or better instantaneous turning performance.

- The larger radar antenna size provides the Su-27/30 with an advantage should similar technology radars be carried.
Su-27/30 Flanker vs F/A-18A Hornet

- These three parameters are vital to competitive air combat capability. None can be significantly changed by upgrades.
What Does HUG / AIR 5400 Provide?

- The ability to shoot the AIM-120 AMRAAM which equalises the Su-27/30’s previous advantage in the Beyond Visual Range R-77 missile.

- The ability to shoot the AIM-132 ASRAAM which equalises or better the Su-27/30’s previous advantage in the R-73/74 missile.

- It provides a current technology and maintainable radar, radar warning and defensive jamming equipment.

- It provides the capability to effectively operate with an AEW&C aircraft using datalinks.

- It provides maintainable software, avionics and cockpit displays.
What Does HUG / AIR 5400 Provide?

Comparison of Close-in Combat Capabilities Pre and Post HUG / AIR 5400
What Does HUG / AIR 5400 Provide?

Comparison of Beyond Visual Range Combat Capabilities Pre and Post HUG / AIR 5400
What Does HUG / AIR 5400 Not Provide?

- Any improvements in aerodynamic performance to match or exceed the performance of the Su-27SK or Su-30MK.
- Any improvements in operating radius to match or exceed the advantages held by the Su-27SK or Su-30MK.
- A substantial improvement in Beyond Visual Range radar performance to match or exceed the performance of new generation Russian radars on the Su-27SK or Su-30MK.
- The endurance and diversion ranges needed to carry large missile loads, required for defence against cruise missile attacks.
- The radar performance to detect and engage low altitude cruise missiles without substantial support from AEW&C aircraft.
Key Long Term Limitations of the F/A-18

- The aircraft’s small size and resulting limitations in operating radius and endurance are not compatible with long endurance operations over the Indian Ocean and Timor Sea.
- The aircraft will become increasingly less competitive in aerodynamic performance as advanced variants of the Su-27/30 proliferate.
- The small radar antenna bay sets hard limits on improvement in radar performance and capability, necessary for the targeting of next generation long range ramjet air-air missiles and air defence against cruise missiles.
- For air defence operations against cruise missiles the F/A-18 has an inadequate missile payload, should external fuel be carried.
The Limitations of Aerial Refuelling

- Aerial refuelling from tanker aircraft can significantly increase the distance to which combat aircraft may fly, or significantly increase the time on station during a Combat Air Patrol (CAP).

- Current operational practice is to always ensure that a fighter carries enough fuel in its tanks to safely divert to a friendly runway, should an aerial refuelling fail. While failures are infrequent, they could cause the loss of an expensive aircraft and pilot.

- The diversion range from a CAP station to a runway is determined by the internal and external fuel capacity of a fighter, and what fraction of the total fuel load remains.

- If external fuel tanks on a small fighter are replaced with more missiles, the CAP station must be closer to the runway.
Life Extension Programs

- A number of very successful life extension programs have been performed on military aircraft over the last three decades.

- The Boeing B-52 Stratofortress strategic bomber, built during the early sixties, received a major rebuild of its wings and a series of ongoing avionic upgrades. The aircraft is planned to remain in service until 2030.

- The Boeing KC-135 Stratotanker aerial refuelling aircraft, of which 820 were built during between 1957 and 1965, received a major rebuild of its wings, structural component replacements, new CFM-56 engines and the Pacer Crag avionic upgrade. The aircraft is planned to remain in service possibly until 2040.
Life Extension - KC-135 & B-52

- An early KC-135A refuelling an early model B-52 bomber.
Life Extension Programs

• In principle, structural rebuilds and avionic replacements can keep an aircraft operational for many decades.

• The more important issue is whether the aircraft will remain operationally useful and effective in combat. Both the B-52 and KC-135 rely upon fighter aircraft to maintain air superiority.

• Aircraft which by basic aerodynamic design can continue to effectively compete in an evolving military environment are good candidates for life extension.

• If an aircraft can no longer compete due to limitations in its aerodynamic design or size it is not a good candidate for life extension.
Life Extension Programs

• *Because the basic limitations of the F/A-18 in the developing regional environment result from its aerodynamic design and small size, it is not a good candidate for airframe life extension.*

• Older air superiority fighters are frequently used as strike aircraft, once their aerodynamic design is no longer competitive.

• The F-111, supported by a good air superiority fighter and equipped with modern weapons, avionics and engines has the aerodynamic performance and size to remain effective in combat until 2020 or beyond. It would be used for maritime strike, land strike and with a new radar, interception of long range maritime patrol aircraft.

• The F/A-18 has less than one half of the payload radius performance of the F-111 and thus yields a lower return as a dedicated bomber.
Force Structure Responses

AIR 5077, AIR 5402, AIR 6000
Wedgetail AEW&C Aircraft - AIR 5077

**Wedgetail:** The Wedgetail AEW&C aircraft uses the Boeing 737-700 airframe, a MESA early warning radar, and a comprehensive package of supporting communications and surveillance equipment.

**Numbers:** Between 6 and 9 aircraft are required for proper coverage of the Pilbara, Timor Sea and Darwin.
Tanker Fleet Expansion Issues

The RAAF’s fleet of four 707-338C tankers is inadequate in numbers and aircraft performance. The latter can be addressed by suitable upgrades. However, much larger numbers of tankers will be needed. Unit cost for tankers is similar to a new fighter. Options for AIR 5402 are:

**KC-135R:** The KC-135R is a dedicated tanker, with poor freight carrying ability. It has excellent fuel consumption and its size allows flexible use in refuelling. Since two squadrons would be required, the cost of air and ground crews would be high.

**KC-25/KC-747:** The KC-25/KC-747 is a dual role tanker/transport. It burns more fuel than a KC-135R and is less flexible in use as a tanker, but performs well as a heavy transport lifting 4-5 times more than a C-130J. It can load C-130 sized items. Only one squadron would be needed, which almost halves personnel needs.
Alternative Tanker Aircraft - AIR 5402

**KC-135R:** A medium sized tanker, widely used by the USAF, available refurbished. Between 25 and 30 required.
Alternative Tanker Aircraft - AIR 5402

KC-25/KC-747: A heavy strategic tanker/transport, rebuilt from used commercial 747 airliners. Provides 80 tonne class airlift capability for C-130 sized payloads. Between 12 and 15 required.
F/A-18 Replacement - Key Capabilities

Range/Endurance: The need to engage hostile combat aircraft before they reach cruise missile launch ranges dictates the need for sufficient internal fuel to perform credibly in air combat at distances in excess of 400 nautical miles from a runway. This requirement is consistent with the needs of defending against submarine cruise missile attack, where external stores carriage must be committed to a large load of air-air missiles, at the expense of external fuel tanks.

Radar Performance: The need to prevail in Beyond Visual Range missile combat demands a large high performance radar. This requirement is also consistent with the need to reliably engage small cruise missiles flying at low altitudes.

The necessary conclusion is that AIR 6000 will need to be focussed upon fighters with 10 tonne class internal fuel capacity and large radars.
Alternative Fighter Aircraft - AIR 6000

• Only three large fighters will be in production over the next decade. These are the Su-27/30, the F-15E series and the F-22A.

• As a conflict of interest would arise for the supplier, the Su-27/30 is not a viable proposition.

• An F-15E variant would combine 1970s aerodynamics, 1980s structural design and 1990s avionics. It would match the Su-27/30, the advantage going to the fighter with the better pilot, tactics, radar and missiles at the time.

• An F-22A variant is new technology, incorporating stealth, supersonic cruise engines, an active phased array and integrated avionics. No upgrades to the Su-27/30 would match an F-22A variant, and a smaller number would suffice to replace the F/A-18 fleet.
Alternative Fighter Aircraft - AIR 6000

**F-15C/E:** The F-15C/E is the leading US air superiority fighter in operational service, flown also by Israel, Japan and Saudi Arabia.
F-22A: The F-22A is the planned replacement for the F-15 series.
Support Jammer aircraft can be used to blind an opposing AWACS, opposing fighters and to disrupt opposing communications. Such aircraft were heavily used over Iraq in 1991, and Serbia in 1999.

**EF-111A:** The EF-111A was recently retired by the USAF and a number of aircraft remain in storage. Several such aircraft could be refurbished and introduced into service beside the RAAF’s F-111C.
Conclusions

1. The replacement of the F/A-18A with a new technology fighter post-2010 will be necessary, should current trends persist.

2. A larger type of fighter aircraft would be better suited as a replacement for the F/A-18A, should current trends persist.

3. Substantially improved aerial refuelling capabilities and Airborne Early Warning & Control aircraft will be required to support the F/A-18A, should current trends persist.